RESEARCH Open Access



Knowledge and attitude towards home quarantine instructions and associations with history of Covid-19 infection in Malaysia

Shea Jiun Choo^{1†}, Chee Tao Chang^{2,3*†}, Huan-Keat Chan⁴, Muhammad Radzi Abu Hassan⁴, Muhammad Hazmi Hamdan¹, Ai Ting Cheong¹, Fatin Nadhirah Mat Noh¹ and Nur Syahmina Uzma Mustafa¹

Abstract

Introduction Although COVID-19 has entered the endemic phase, individuals infected with COVID-19 are required to adhere to home quarantine measures. By exploring the public's knowledge and attitude towards recommended home quarantine measures, their readiness in containing potential COVID-19 outbreak can be determined. This study aimed to assess the public knowledge and attitude towards home quarantine instructions and their association with history of COVID-19 infections.

Methods This was a web-based cross-sectional study conducted among the public in Malaysia between August to October 2022. All Malaysian adults over 18 years of age were included. Knowledge on home quarantine instructions and COVID-19 warning signs were measured using "True," "False," or "I'm not sure", while attitude towards home quarantine instructions was measured using a five-point Likert Scale. The questionnaire was initially constructed in English and then translated into the national language, Bahasa Malaysia. Face and content validation were performed. The internal consistency of the questionnaire was found to be satisfactory.

Results 1,036 respondents were analyzed, comprised mostly of females (743, 71.6%) with a history of COVID-19 (673, 64.9%). In the knowledge domain, more than 80% of the respondents answered 9 out of 11 home quarantine instructions statements correctly. 457 (44.1%) were unaware or unsure about the minimum distance of the infected individual's bed from the rest of the occupants in a shared bedroom. The respondents reported relatively weaker knowledge in identifying uncommon warning signs of COVID-19 deterioration, including anuria (162, 44.5%), ingestion problems (191, 52.5%), and immobility (195, 53.6%). In the attitude domain, more than 90% of respondents answered correctly in 8 out of 9 questions. Respondents with a previous history of COVID-19 infections had better knowledge than COVID-19 infection-naïve individuals towards both home quarantine instructions and COVID-19 warning signs.

[†]Choo Shea Jiun and Chang Chee Tao contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Chee Tao Chang davidcct.crc@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Choo et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:1311 Page 2 of 9

Conclusion Most respondents had good knowledge and attitude towards home quarantine instructions, with those previously infected with COVID-19 showing greater awareness of uncommon warning signs. However, there was a notable lack of awareness regarding physical distancing within shared rooms, appropriate disinfectant use and mobility limitation within the household. This study highlights the knowledge gaps to be improved in future educational campaigns.

Keywords COVID-19, Home quarantine instructions, Home surveillance order, Knowledge, Practice, Attitude, Warning signs

Introduction

COVID-19 was declared as pandemic by the World Health Organization in March 2020 [1]. The first case of COVID-19 in Malaysia was detected on January 25, 2020, and the number of confirmed cases subsequently hiked [2]. Knowing that transmission of COVID-19 disease is mainly airborne via respiratory droplets and close contact with infected symptomatic cases [3], quarantine of infected individuals has shown to be an effective strategy to break the chain of transmission [4, 5]. In the early stage of the pandemic, individuals who tested COVID-19 positive, regardless of severity, were admitted to the ward or quarantine centres [6].

As Malaysia entered the "transition to endemic" phase since April 2022, the majority of COVID-19 positive individuals no longer needed to undergo involuntary detention. Based on the Ministry of Health Guideline on Home Monitoring and Management of Confirmed COVID-19 case at COVID-19 Assessment Centre, only adult patients clinically diagnosed with COVID-19 category 3 to 5, having uncontrolled comorbidities, immunocompromised, or found to present with warning signs of COVID-19 during evaluation were hospitalized for close monitoring [7]. Whereas, COVID-19 patients that were asymptomatic (Category 1) or minimally symptomatic (Category 2a) were mandated to undergo home surveillance order (HSO) and report their health status twice a day through an electronic home quarantine self-assessment survey on the national contact tracing surveillance application, MySejahtera [7].

As of October 2022, 95.5% of the 23,038 active cases in Malaysia were given HSO [8]. However, there is no direct surveillance and guidance by the health authority on the adherence to home quarantine instructions and monitoring of the warning signs of COVID-19 deterioration during the home quarantine period. In other words, individuals who undergo home quarantine could only rely on their own initiatives to search for information regarding home quarantine instructions and self-disciplines to adhere to them.

A systematic review revealed that overall public knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding COVID-19 were satisfactory across most countries during the early stage of outbreak [9]. Studies conducted in China and Australia indicated that the general public exhibited appropriate

practices in adhering to preventive measures [10, 11]. Similarly, local investigations carried out in Malaysia indicated that the public possessed adequate knowledge and displayed positive attitude toward adhering to mitigation strategies aimed at curbing COVID-19 [12, 13]. However, there is a lack of research examining the knowledge, attitude, and practice of the public regarding home quarantine.

As COVID-19 is entering the endemic phase, it is important to educate the public regarding proper home quarantine instructions in accordance to guidelines adopted by the government to prevent future outbreak. In addition, empowering the public in recognizing warning signs of COVID-19 during the period of home quarantine will help them to recognize needs to seek for timely medical attention. This study aimed to assess the public knowledge and attitude towards home quarantine instructions and its associations with history of COVID-19 infections.

Methods

This was a cross-sectional study conducted among the general public in Malaysia. All Malaysian citizens over 18 years of age were eligible for this study. Those who could not read or understand English or Malay language were excluded.

The study sample size was estimated using the Raosoft sample size calculator (http://www.raosoft.com/sample-size.html). Assuming an infinite study population among the general public of Malaysia, where 50% have good knowledge regarding home quarantine instructions, with 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error, the minimum sample size required was 377.

Questionnaire

A structured online survey was developed to evaluate the knowledge and attitude towards home quarantine instructions for COVID-19 infections, based on literature and guidelines (6,8–11). The questionnaire primarily focused on: (1) demographic data; (2) knowledge about home quarantine instructions; (3) knowledge about deterioration signs of COVID-19; and (4) attitude towards home quarantine instructions (Supplementary File 1).

The questionnaire was initially constructed in English and then translated into Bahasa Malaysia using a

Choo et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:1311 Page 3 of 9

forward-backward-translation approach to ensure linguistic and conceptual equivalence. Face and content validation were performed by a panel of two subject matter experts: one senior academic specialized in public health pharmacy, and one senior clinician specialized in internal medicine. Subsequently, it was pre-tested among five lay persons to examine clarity and relevance. A pilot test was conducted among 30 respondents to check the reliability of the questionnaire. The internal consistency of the questionnaire was found to be satisfactory: Knowledge towards home quarantine instructions (α =0.618); warning signs of COVID-19 deterioration (α =0.765); attitude towards home quarantine instructions (α =0.768) [14].

To measure knowledge about home quarantine instructions and signs of COVID-19 deterioration, the respondents were required to answer whether the item was "True," "False," or "I'm not sure." In terms of attitude, the respondents were asked on a five-point Likert Scale (1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=neutral, 4=agree, and 5=strongly agree) about their likelihood to practice the home quarantine instructions recommended. The overall knowledge and attitude were categorized using Bloom's cut-off point, as good if the score fell between 80% and 100%, moderate if the score ranged between 60% and 79%, and poor if the score was below 60% [15].

Data collection

Data collection was performed online using Google Forms. The survey was opened for three months between August and October 2022. The link (URL) of the Google Form online questionnaire was distributed to the potential respondents via social media platforms (e.g., Facebook, Twitter, WhatsApp). To ensure anonymity, subjects were not required to sign in to an account to complete the survey.

The respondents were initially directed to the online Participant Information Sheet (PIS). Further details of the study and consent to participate were given on the PIS webpage. Should they choose not to participate, their intentions were acknowledged, and a "thank you" note expressing the researchers' respects for the participants' decision. Should they agree to participate, they were asked to click on a link to proceed with the questionnaire.

Data analysis

All study variables, including the respondents' demographic characteristics, knowledge, and attitude, were descriptively analyzed and presented. For the knowledge sections, responses were dichotomized into correct and incorrect/unsure. Responses were categorized and reported as agree and disagree/neutral for the attitude domain. Chi-square tests were used to stratify the knowledge and attitude of the respondents based on their history of COVID-19 infections. The analysis was

performed using SPSS version 27; a *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

This study was registered with National Medical Research Registry (NMRR), and ethical approval was obtained from Medical Research Ethical Committee (MREC).

Results

Out of 1,061 responses, nine declined consents, and 15 were excluded due to missing age. Hence, only 1,037 were included in the analysis. The majority of the respondents were between age 30–49 (734,70.8%), female (743, 71.6%), married with at least a child (532, 51.3%), with a bachelor degree (550, 53.0%), with at least one co-morbidities (322, 58.2%), staying with family members (754, 72.7%), with a history of COVID-19 (673,64.9%) and home quarantine (782,75.4%) and completed booster dose (926, 89.3%). The largest source of information regarding COVID-19 was social media (755, 72.8%), followed by health experts (461, 44.5%) and instant messaging applications (450, 43.4%) (Table 1).

The chi-square analysis revealed significant associations between age group (older>younger, p<0.001) and marital status (married>single, p=0.005) with knowledge of home quarantine instructions. However, knowledge showed no significant associations with gender (p=0.140), ethnicity (p=0.385), education level (p=0.482), occupation (p=0.076), household income (p=0.148), living status (p=0.855), history of home quarantine (p=0.819), or vaccination status (p=0.345).

Additionally, gender (female>male, p=0.002) and vaccination status (vaccinated>non-vaccinated, p<0.001) were significantly associated with attitude towards home quarantine instructions. However, attitude showed no significant associations with ethnicity (p=0.814), marital status (p=0.641), education level (p=0.154), occupation (p=0.062), household income (p=0.756), living status (p=0.157), or history of home quarantine (p=0.963).

Knowledge towards home quarantine instructions

80% of the respondents answered correctly in 9 out of 11 statements related to knowledge towards home quarantine instructions. 220 (21.2%) of the respondents were unaware or unsure whether a shared bathroom should be disinfected with 0.1% Chlorine solution after each use. Moreover, 457 (44.1%) of the respondents were unaware or unsure about the minimum distance of the infected individual's bed from the rest of the occupants in a shared bedroom (Table 2).

We performed Chi-square tests and found that respondents who have a history of COVID-19 infection had better knowledge in terms of needs of daily health status reporting (98.4% vs. 95.6%, p=0.008) and room separation requirements (98.4% vs. 96.4%, p=0.048) compared

Choo et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:1311 Page 4 of 9

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of respondents (n = 1,037)

	Frequency	Percentage
Age	Mean: 36.27 ± 8.74 years	Range: 18–69 years
18–29	231	22.3
30–49	734	70.8
50 and above	72	6.9
Gender		
Male	294	28.4
Female	743	71.6
Ethnicity		
Malay	745	71.8
Chinese	172	16.6
Indian	47	4.5
Others	73	7.0
Marital status		
Married with child	532	51.3
Single	380	36.6
Married without child	90	8.7
Divorce/widow	35	3.4
Educational level	33	э.т
Secondary school	97	9.4
•	228	22.0
Certificate or diploma	550	53.0
Bachelor degree		
Master's or Ph.D. degree	162	15.6
Occupation	450	10.6
Healthcare related	452	43.6
Non-healthcare related	418	40.3
Students	79	7.6
Housewives	65	6.3
Unemployed/retired	23	2.2
At least one comorbidities		
Yes	322	58.2
No	231	41.8
Household income		
No income	86	8.3
Less than RM 4,850 per month	385	37.1
RM 4,851 to RM 10,970 per month	375	36.2
More than RM 10,971 per month	191	18.4
Living status		
Alone	254	24.5
With family members	754	72.7
With non-family members	29	2.8
History of COVID-19 positive		
No	364	35.1
Yes	673	64.9
History of home quarantine		
No	255	24.6
Yes	782	75.4
Vaccination status	702	, , , , ,
Never	5	0.5
	5 7	0.5
Completed the first dose	/ 99	
Completed the second dose		9.5
Completed at least one booster dose	926	89.3
Source of information regarding COVID-19	755	
Social media	755	72.8

Choo et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:1311 Page 5 of 9

Table 1 (continued)

	Frequency	Percentage
Health experts	461	44.5
Instant messaging applications	450	43.4
Conventional media (print or electronic)	402	38.8
MySejahtera	57	5.5
Ministry of Health websites	13	1.3
Employer or colleagues	11	1.1
Family and friends	6	0.6

Table 2 Knowledge of respondents towards home quarantine instructions (n = 1,037)

Statement A person who receives Home Surveillance Order:	Without COVID-19 positive history	With COVID- 19 positive history	Total	<i>p</i> - value
May not allow visitors.				
Correct Incorrect or unsure	346 (95.1) 18 (4.9)	652 (96.9) 21 (3.1)	998 (96.2) 39 (3.8)	0.140
Needs to maintain physical distance from family members.				
Correct	348 (95.6)	655 (97.3)	1,003 (96.7)	0.325
Incorrect or unsure	16 (4.4)	18 (2.7)	34 (3.3)	
Needs to limit movement in the house.				
Correct	314 (86.3)	594 (88.3)	908 (87.6)	0.352
Incorrect or unsure	50 (13.7)	79 (11.7)	129 (12.4)	
Needs to report daily health status through MySejahtera or attend to healthcare providers through phone calls.				
Correct	348 (95.6)	662 (98.4)	1,010 (97.4)	0.008*
Incorrect or unsure	16 (4.4)	11 (1.6)	27 (2.6)	
May not share eating utensils and personal care products (such as towels).				
Correct	351 (96.4)	653 (97.0)	1004 (96.8)	0.600
Incorrect or unsure	13 (3.6)	20 (3.0)	33 (3.2)	
Need to comply with basic prevention measures, including wearing a face mask, regular hand washing, and practicing cough etiquette.				
Correct	351 (96.4)	653 (97.0)	1,004 (96.8)	0.600
Incorrect or unsure	13 (3.6)	20 (3.0)	33 (3.2)	
Should avoid using public transport in case of need to visit the clinic or hospital.				
True	331 (90.9)	613 (91.1)	944 (91.0)	0.935
False or unsure	33 (9.1)	60 (8.9)	93 (9.0)	
Should have access to a pulse oximeter.				
Correct	319 (87.6)	613 (91.1)	932 (89.9)	0.079
Incorrect or unsure	45 (12.4)	60 (8.9)	105 (10.1)	
Should stay in a separate bedroom (preferably with an attached bathroom) that is well-ventilated.				
Correct	351 (96.4)	662 (98.4)	1,013 (97.7)	0.048*
Incorrect or unsure	13 (3.6)	11 (1.6)	24 (2.3)	
If sharing a bathroom, it should be disinfected with 0.1% Chlorine solution after each use.				
Correct	280 (76.9)	537 (79.8)	817 (78.8)	0.281
Incorrect or unsure	84 (23.1)	136 (20.2)	220 (21.2)	
If sharing a bedroom, the patient's bed to be put at a minimum distance of three to six feet from the rest of the occupants.				
Correct Incorrect or unsure	214 (58.8) 150 (41.2)	366 (54.4) 307 (45.6)	580 (55.9) 457 (44.1)	0.172

^{*} p<0.05

Choo et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:1311 Page 6 of 9

to those who were not infected with COVID-19 before (Table 2).

Knowledge on warning signs of COVID-19 deterioration

More than 80% of the respondents were able to correctly identify common warning signs of COVID-19 deterioration, including chest pain (894, 86.2%), fever (832, 80.2%), lethargy (876, 84.5%), and shortness of breath (1,003, 96.7%) (Table 3).

Respondents with no previous history of COVID-19 infections were more likely to correctly identify loss of smell (83.0% vs. 75.5%, p=0.005) and taste (83.8% vs. 75.5%, p=0.002) as deterioration symptoms compared to those with a history of COVID-19 infections. In contrast, respondents with previous history of COVID-19 infections were more likely to correctly identify atypical symptoms such as diarrhoea (74.7% vs. 64.8%, p<0.001), reduced urine output (56.2% vs. 44.5%, p<0.001), immobility (66.3% vs. 53.6%, p<0.001) and ingestion problems (65.7% vs. 52.5%, p<0.001) as signs of COVID-19 deterioration compared to those without (Table 3).

Attitude towards home quarantine instructions

More than 90% of the respondents uttered their agreement towards eight out of the nine attitude statements regarding home quarantine instructions. Notably, 97.9% of the respondents agreed to practice all the basic preventative measures during home quarantine, including wearing a face mask, regular hand washing, and practicing cough etiquette. Compared to the other home quarantine instructions, relatively fewer respondents reported agreement (867, 83.6%) in limiting their movement in the house during home surveillance orders (Table 4). There was no significant association in attitude between those with and without COVID-19 history.

Discussion

This study represents one of the earliest attempts to evaluate the general public's knowledge and attitude regarding home quarantine measures in response to COVID-19 endemic. Overall, the majority of respondents exhibited good understanding and positive attitude towards home quarantine instructions. Furthermore, individuals with a prior history of COVID-19 infection notably displayed enhanced knowledge, particularly in areas such as health status reporting and implementing room separation measures throughout the isolation period.

Respondents who were older and married have better knowledge towards home quarantine instructions, although a local study conducted six months after COVID-19 was declared pandemic among a similar population reported better knowledge among middle-aged people [13]. Older individuals and those who were married may have had more exposure to health information

and guidelines through traditional media channels or healthcare providers. Additionally, their life experiences and responsibilities, such as caring for families or managing households, may have heightened their awareness and adherence to home quarantine protocols.

Additionally, female and those who were vaccinated have better attitude towards home quarantine instructions. Interestingly, men were less knowledgeable about the symptoms of COVID-19 than women in Pakistan [16]. This gender disparity in knowledge and attitude could be attributed to societal norms which influenced men to downplay health concerns or exhibit a sense of invulnerability, leading to lower levels of knowledge and negative attitude to health protocols. The higher likelihood of positive attitude towards quarantine instructions among vaccinated individuals suggests that this group of respondents took a proactive approach to manage their health and inherently had a greater understanding of the importance of preventive measures in mitigating the spread of COVID-19.

More than two-fifth of the respondents in this study were not aware about the minimum distance between beds in a shared room, revealing knowledge gaps to focus on in future public health education on COVID-19 preventive measures. In fact, a study reported that at least three to six feet away is critical in reducing transmission of COVID-19 [17]. While it is highly advisable for individuals infected with COVID-19 to be isolated, this could be impossible for settings with limited domestic space. In fact, a few clusters involving immigration detention centres, prison, factory dormitories and construction sites were identified for contracting COVID-19 during the pandemic in Malaysia. Hence, health authorities may contemplate the provision of temporary quarantine facilities as a proactive measure to mitigate the risk of outbreaks.

On the other hand, a local study revealed that more than 50% of COVID-19 cases were due to cross-infection among household members [18]. In our study, about one in five respondents were unaware of the need to limit movement in the house. In terms of attitude, we also found a relatively lower level of agreement with this instruction compared to the others. This phenomenon may stem from the belief that individuals under HSO were solely required to refrain from leaving their homes, without any restrictions on mobility within the household. This misconception warrants attention and clear correction, as it has the potential to contribute to cross-infection among household members.

In consistent with previous local and international studies [13, 19, 20], most respondents reported good knowledge of common warning signs of COVID-19 deterioration. Notably, less common warning signs of COVID-19 infection such as diarrhoea, reduced urine

Choo et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:1311 Page 7 of 9

Table 3 Knowledge on warning signs of COVID-19 deterioration (n = 1,037)

Statement The following are warning signs of deterioration of Covid-19 infection:	Without COVID-19 posi-	With COVID- 19 positive	Total	<i>p</i> -value	
	tive history	history			
Chest pain	•				
Correct	308 (84.6)	586 (87.1)	894 (86.2)	0.273	
Incorrect or unsure	56 (15.4)	87 (12.9)	143 (13.8)		
Cough					
Correct	298 (81.9)	508 (75.5)	806 (77.7)	0.018*	
Incorrect or unsure	66 (18.1)	165 (24.5)	231 (22.3)		
Diarrhoea					
Correct	236 (64.8)	503 (74.7)	739 (71.3)	< 0.001*	
Incorrect or unsure	128 (35.2)	170 (25.3)	298 (28.7)		
Fever					
Correct	302 (83.0)	530 (78.8)	832 (80.2)	0.104	
Incorrect or unsure	62 (17.0)	143 (21.2)	205 (19.8)		
Lethargy					
Correct	303 (83.2)	573 (85.1)	876 (84.5)	0.420	
Incorrect or unsure	61 (16.8)	100 (14.9)	161 (15.5)		
Loss of smell sensory					
Correct	302 (83.0)	508 (75.5)	810 (78.1)	0.005*	
Incorrect or unsure	62 (17.0)	165 (24.5)	227 (21.9)		
Loss of taste sensory	, ,	,	, ,		
Correct	305 (83.8)	508 (75.5)	813 (78.4)	0.002*	
Incorrect or unsure	59 (16.2)	165 (24.5)	224 (21.6)	0.002	
Nausea or vomiting	,	, ,	, , ,		
Correct	259 (71.2)	512 (76.1)	771 (74.3)	0.083*	
Incorrect or unsure	105 (28.8)	161 (23.9)	266 (25.7)	0.005	
Muscle pain	103 (20.0)	(23.5)	200 (20.7)		
Correct	274 (75.3)	469 (69.7)	743 (71.6)	0.057	
Incorrect or unsure	90 (24.7)	204 (30.3)	294 (28.4)	0.037	
Persistent or worsening conditions such as cough, nausea/vomiting/diarrhoea	JO (2)	20 ((30.3)	23 1 (2011)		
Correct	331 (90.9)	634 (94.2)	965 (93.1)	0.048*	
Incorrect or unsure	33 (9.1)	39 (5.8)	72 (6.9)	0.040	
Reduced level of consciousness	33 (3.1)	37 (3.0)	7 2 (0.5)		
Correct	250 (68.7)	509 (75.6)	759 (73.2)	0.016*	
Incorrect or unsure	114 (31.3)	164 (24.4)	278 (26.8)	0.010	
Reduced urine output in 24 h	111 (31.3)	101 (21.1)	270 (20.0)		
Correct	162 (44.5)	378 (56.2)	540 (52.1)	< 0.001*	
Incorrect or unsure	202 (55.5)	295 (43.8)	497 (47.9)	< 0.001	
Shortness of breath	202 (33.3)	233 (13.0)	157 (17.5)		
Correct	251 (06.4)	652 (06.0)	1 002 (06 7)	0.607	
Incorrect or unsure	351 (96.4) 13 (3.6)	652 (96.9) 21 (3.1)	1,003 (96.7) 34 (3.3)	0.697	
Sore throat or flu	15 (5.0)	21 (5.1)	54 (5.5)		
	207 (70.0)	402 (72.2)	700 (75.2)	0.047	
Correct Incorrect or unsure	287 (78.8) 77 (21.2)	493 (73.3) 180 (26.7)	780 (75.2) 257 (24.8)	0.047	
	// (∠1.∠)	100 (20.7)	231 (24.0)		
Unable to get out of bed without assistance	10E (E2.C)	116 (66 2)	641 (61 0)	~ 0 001¥	
Correct Incorrect or unsure	195 (53.6) 169 (46.4)	446 (66.3) 227 (33.7)	641 (61.8) 396 (38.2)	< 0.001*	
	109 (40.4)	ZZ/ (33./)	J9U (J0.Z)		
Unable to take food or drinks	101 (52.5)	442 (65.7)	(22 (61 0)	.0.001*	
Correct or unsure	191 (52.5) 173 (47.5)	442 (65.7)	633 (61.0)	< 0.001*	
Incorrect or unsure * p<0.05	1/3 (4/.3)	231 (34.3)	404 (39.0)		

^{*} p<0.05

output, immobility, and ingestion individuals were better known in respondents with a history of COVID-19. At present, individuals undergoing HSO no longer have direct supervision from healthcare workers and are

monitored only through the national contact tracing surveillance application (MySejahtera). This approach relies heavily on community solidarity and responsibility to self-report their infection status and daily Choo et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:1311 Page 8 of 9

Table 4 Attitude towards home quarantine instructions

Statement	Without COVID-19 positive history	With COVID- 19 positive history	Total	<i>p</i> - val- ue
I should stay at home throughout the home quarantine period.				
Disagree or neutral Agree	16 (4.4) 348 (95.6)	37 (5.5) 636 (94.5)	53 (5.1) 984 (94.9)	0.442
I should allow visitors.				
Disagree Agree or neutral	351 (96.4) 13 (3.6)	641 (95.2) 32 (4.8)	992 (95.7) 45 (4.3)	0.372
I should report daily health status through the MySejahtera app.				
Disagree or neutral Agree	21 (5.8) 343 (94.2)	35 (5.2) 638 (94.8)	56 (5.4) 981 (94.6)	0.699
I should limit movement in the house.				
Disagree or neutral Agree	64 (17.6) 300 (82.4)	106 (15.8) 567 (84.2)	170 (16.4) 867 (83.6)	0.447
I should maintain physical distance from other household members.				
Disagree or neutral Agree	10 (2.7) 354 (97.3)	29 (4.3) 644 (95.7)	39 (3.8) 998 (96.2)	0.207
I should practice all the essential preventative measures, including wearing a face mask, regular hand washing, practicing cough etiquette.				
Disagree or neutral Agree	5 (1.4) 359 (98.6)	17 (2.5) 656 (97.5)	22 (2.1) 1,015 (97.9)	0.219
I should use separate eating utensils and personal care products (such as towels) from household members.				
Disagree or neutral Agree	15 (4.1) 349 (95.9)	32 (4.8) 641 (95.2)	47 (4.5) 990 (95.5)	0.639
I should obtain a portable fingertip pulse oximeter and self-monitor oxygen saturation level.				
Disagree or neutral Agree	30 (8.2) 334 (91.8)	60 (8.9) 613 (91.1)	90 (8.7) 947 (91.3)	0.713
I should stay in a separate room as a household member.				
Disagree or neutral Agree	8 (2.2) 356 (97.8)	25 (3.7) 648 (96.3)	33 (3.2) 1,004 (96.8)	0.184

wellness. Hence, by filling the information gap concerning COVID-19 worsening indicators, it enables the public to seek medical care in a timely manner.

This study was adequately powered to reflect knowledge and attitude regarding home quarantine instructions in the Malaysian population. Other than determining the preparedness of Malaysians in the era of COVID-19 endemic, it also addressed the knowledge gap in educating the public about home quarantine measures to prevent future outbreak. However, as we did not perform stratified sampling, we observed an underrepresentation of the elderly, men, and non-healthcare workers. Due to data collection time lapse, respondents may inaccurately report their past experiences due to recall bias. Respondent bias may also present as participants might have provided socially desirable responses.

Conclusion

The respondents demonstrated a good understanding of most home quarantine instructions, with those who had previously contracted COVID-19 showing better awareness of uncommon warning signs COVID-19

deterioration. Generally, participants displayed positive attitude towards home quarantine instructions. However, there was a notable lack of awareness regarding the importance of physical distancing within shared rooms and the necessity of using suitable disinfectants as well as mobility limitation within the household. These underscore the need for future educational campaigns to address the knowledge gaps identified.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-18739-9.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Director-General of Health Malaysia for his permission to publish this article. We would like to acknowledge Mr. Ahmad Aiman Ahmad Niza for his contribution as co-investigator.

Author contributions

Choo Shea Jiun, Chang Chee Tao, Chan Huan Keat, Muhammad Radzi Abu Hassan, Muhammad Hazmi Hamdan, Cheong Ai Ting, Fatin Nadhirah Mat Noh, Nur Syahmina Uzma Mustafa contributed equally to this work including

Choo et al. BMC Public Health (2024) 24:1311 Page 9 of 9

conceptualization, data curation, writing and review of manuscript. Choo Shea Jiun, Chang Chee Tao, Chan Huan Keat, Muhammad Radzi, Abu Hassan, Muhammad Hazmi Hamdan, Cheong Ai Ting, Fatin Nadhirah Mat Noh, Nur Syahmina Uzma Mustafa read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This was a self-funded study.

Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to confidentiality of respondents, but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was registered in the National Medical Research Registry (NMRR ID-22-01372-NIL) and approved by Medical Research Ethical Committee [22-00843-GOQ (IIR)] on 25th May 2022. All research procedures were conducted according to the Malaysian Guidelines Good Clinical Practice (4th edition) and other relevant guidelines for research. Informed consent was obtained from all the participants before their participation.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Pharmacy Department, Hospital Taiping, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Taiping, Malaysia

²Clinical Research Centre, Hospital Raja Permaisuri Bainun, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Ipoh, Malaysia

³School of Pharmacy, Monash University Malaysia, Subang Jaya, Malaysia ⁴Clinical Research Centre, Hospital Sultanah Bahiyah, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Alor Setar, Malaysia

Received: 6 October 2023 / Accepted: 29 April 2024 Published online: 14 May 2024

References

- Hashim JH, Adman MA, Hashim Z, Mohd Radi MF, Kwan SC. COVID-19 epidemic in Malaysia: Epidemic Progression, challenges, and response. Front Public Health. 2021;9:560592.
- Elengoe A. COVID-19 outbreak in Malaysia. Osong Public Health Res Perspect. 2020;11:93–100.
- Tang JW, Marr LC, Li Y, Dancer SJ. Covid-19 has redefined airborne transmission. BMJ. 2021;373:n913.
- Nussbaumer-Streit B, Mayr V, Dobrescu AI, Chapman A, Persad E, Klerings I, et al. Quarantine alone or in combination with other public health measures to control COVID-19: a rapid review. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020;4:CD013574.

- Tang KHD. Movement control as an effective measure against Covid-19 spread in Malaysia: an overview. Z Gesundh Wiss. 2022;30:583–6.
- Ohsfeldt RL, Choong CK-C, Mc Collam PL, Abedtash H, Kelton KA, Burge R. Inpatient Hospital costs for COVID-19 patients in the United States. Adv Ther. 2021;38:5557–95.
- Ministry of Health Malaysia. Guideline on Home Monitoring and Management of Confirmed COVID-19 case at COVID-19 Assessment Centre. 2022.
- Ministry of Health Malaysia. COVIDNOW in Malaysia. 2022. https://covidnow.moh.gov.my/. Accessed 5 Jan 2023.
- Wake AD, Knowledge. Attitude, practice, and Associated factors regarding the Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Infect Drug Resist. 2020:13:3817–32
- Zhong B-L, Luo W, Li H-M, Zhang Q-Q, Liu X-G, Li W-T, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19 outbreak: a quick online cross-sectional survey. Int J Biol Sci. 2020;16:1745–52.
- Thomas R, Greenwood H, Michaleff ZA, Abukmail E, Hoffmann TC, McCaffery K, et al. Examining Australian's beliefs, misconceptions and sources of information for COVID-19: a national online survey. BMJ Open. 2021;11:e043421.
- Azlan AA, Hamzah MR, Sern TJ, Ayub SH, Mohamad E. Public knowledge, attitudes and practices towards COVID-19: a cross-sectional study in Malaysia. PLoS ONE. 2020;15:e0233668.
- Chang CT, Lee M, Lee JCY, Lee NCT, Ng TY, Shafie AA, et al. Public KAP towards COVID-19 and Antibiotics Resistance: a Malaysian survey of knowledge and awareness. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18:3964.
- Taber KS. The Use of Cronbach's alpha when developing and Reporting Research Instruments in Science Education. Res Sci Educ. 2018;48:1273–96.
- Akalu Y, Ayelign B, Molla MD. Knowledge, attitude and practice towards COVID-19 among chronic Disease patients at Addis Zemen Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. Infect Drug Resist. 2020;13:1949–60.
- Fatmi Z, Mahmood S, Hameed W, Qazi I, Siddiqui M, Dhanwani A, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and practices towards COVID-19 among Pakistani residents: information access and low literacy vulnerabilities. East Mediterr Health J. 2020;26:1446–55.
- Chu DK, Akl EA, Duda S, Solo K, Yaacoub S, Schünemann HJ, et al. Physical distancing, face masks, and eye protection to prevent person-to-person transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Lancet. 2020;395:1973–87.
- Ng DC-E, Tan KK, Chin L, Cheng XL, Vijayakulasingam T, Liew DWX, et al. Risk factors associated with household transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia. J Paediatr Child Health. 2022;58:769–73.
- Haftom M, Petrucka P, Gemechu K, Mamo H, Tsegay T, Amare E, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 pandemic among quarantined adults in Tigrai Region, Ethiopia. Infect Drug Resist. 2020;13:3727–37.
- Patwary MM, Disha AS, Bardhan M, Haque MZ, Kabir MP, Billah SM, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices toward Coronavirus and Associated anxiety symptoms among University students: a cross-sectional study during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:856202.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.